



Design Principles of Programming Languages

Existential Types

Zhenjiang Hu, Haiyan Zhao, Yingfei Xiong

Peking University, Spring Term, 2014



About existential types

- System F: universal types
 - $\forall X. X \rightarrow T$
- Can we change the quantifier to form a new type?
 - $\exists X. X \rightarrow T$
- Existential types: 10 years ago
 - Almost only in theory
 - Used to understand encapsulation
- Existential types: now
 - Used in mainstream languages such as Java, Scala, Haskell



Existential Types in Java

- Designed by Martin Odersky
- How to print all elements in a generic collection in Java?

```
void printCollection(Collection<Object> c) {  
    for (Object e : c) {  
        System.out.println(e);  
    }  
}
```



Existential Types in Java

- Designed by Martin Odersky
- How to print all elements in a generic collection in Java?

```
void printCollection(Collection<Object> c) {  
    for (Object e : c) {  
        System.out.println(e);  
    }  
}
```

- Problem: `Collection<Integer>` cannot be passed.



Existential Types in Java

- Designed by Martin Odersky
- How to print all elements in a generic collection in Java?

```
void printCollection(Collection<?> c) {  
    for (Object e : c) {  
        System.out.println(e);  
    }  
}
```

- ? stands for some unknown types



Existential Types in Java

- The previous example is used in almost every Java tutorial about wildcards
- Is there a problem?



Existential Types in Java

- The previous example is used in almost every Java tutorial about wildcards
- Is there a problem?
- This following code implements the same function in a more type-safe manner

```
<T> void printCollection(Collection<T> c) {  
    for (T e : c) {  
        System.out.println(e);  
    }  
}
```



Existential Types in Java

- The use of wildcards is for encapsulation
- Will the following code compile?

```
public class A {  
    private class B {...}  
    public Collection<B> getInternalList() {...}  
}
```




Existential Types in Java

- The use of wildcards is for encapsulation
- Will the following code compile?

```
public class A {  
    private class B {...}  
    public Collection<B> getInternalList() {...}  
}
```
- Yes (weird Java design), but is not useful.

```
Collection<B> bs = new A().getInternalList();  
// Compilation error
```



Existential Types in Java

- The use of wildcards is for encapsulation

- Using Wildcards

```
public class A {  
    private class B {...}  
    public Collection<?> getInternalList() {...}  
}  
Collection<?> bs = new A().getInternalList();
```



Existential Types

- Theoretical Intuition: Can we change the universal quantifier in $\forall X. T$ into existential quantifier $\exists X. T$?
- $\forall X. T$: for any type X , T is a type
- $\exists X. T$: there exists some type X , T is a type
 - $\text{Collection}\langle ? \rangle$ is a type $\text{Collection}\langle X \rangle$ for some type X
 - You should not care about the value of X



A Problem in Java

- Rotate a list by one
 - `List<?> l = getSomeList();`
 - `l.add(l.remove(0))` // compilation error
- Concrete name needs to be given to “?”



Existential Type by Example

$p = \{*\text{Nat}, \{a=0, f=\lambda x:\text{Nat}. \text{succ}(x)\}\}$ as $\{\exists X, \{a:X, f:X\rightarrow\text{Nat}\}\}$;

▶ $p : \{\exists X, \{a:X, f:X\rightarrow\text{Nat}\}\}$

`let {X,x}=p in (x.f x.a);`

▶ `1 : Nat`

`let {X,x}=p in ($\lambda y:X. x.f y$) x.a;`

▶ `1 : Nat`

`let {X,x}=p in succ(x.a);`

▶ Error: argument of succ is not a number

`let {X,x}=p in x.a;`

▶ Error: Scoping error!



Exercise: are the following terms useful?

$p6 = \{*\text{Nat}, \{a=0, f=\lambda x:\text{Nat}. \text{succ}(x)\}\}$ as $\{\exists X, \{a:X, f:X\rightarrow X\}\}$;

► $p6 : \{\exists X, \{a:X, f:X\rightarrow X\}\}$

$p7 = \{*\text{Nat}, \{a=0, f=\lambda x:\text{Nat}. \text{succ}(x)\}\}$ as $\{\exists X, \{a:X, f:\text{Nat}\rightarrow X\}\}$;

► $p7 : \{\exists X, \{a:X, f:\text{Nat}\rightarrow X\}\}$

$p8 = \{*\text{Nat}, \{a=0, f=\lambda x:\text{Nat}. \text{succ}(x)\}\}$ as $\{\exists X, \{a:\text{Nat}, f:\text{Nat}\rightarrow \text{Nat}\}\}$;

► $p8 : \{\exists X, \{a:\text{Nat}, f:\text{Nat}\rightarrow \text{Nat}\}\}$



Defining Existential Type

→ ∀ ∃

Extends System F (23-1)

New syntactic forms

$t ::= \dots$ *terms:*
 $\{ *T, t \} \text{ as } T$ *packing*
 $\text{let } \{ X, x \} = t \text{ in } t$ *unpacking*

$v ::= \dots$ *values:*
 $\{ *T, v \} \text{ as } T$ *package value*

$T ::= \dots$ *types:*
 $\{ \exists X, T \}$ *existential type*

New evaluation rules

$\text{let } \{ X, x \} = (\{ *T_{11}, v_{12} \} \text{ as } T_1) \text{ in } t_2$
 $\rightarrow [X \mapsto T_{11}][x \mapsto v_{12}]t_2$
 (E-UNPACKPACK)

$t \rightarrow t'$

$\frac{t_{12} \rightarrow t'_{12}}{\{ *T_{11}, t_{12} \} \text{ as } T_1 \rightarrow \{ *T_{11}, t'_{12} \} \text{ as } T_1}$ (E-PACK)

$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{let } \{ X, x \} = t_1 \text{ in } t_2 \rightarrow \text{let } \{ X, x \} = t'_1 \text{ in } t_2}$ (E-UNPACK)

New typing rules

$\Gamma \vdash t : T$

$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_2 : [X \mapsto U]T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \{ *U, t_2 \} \text{ as } \{ \exists X, T_2 \} : \{ \exists X, T_2 \}}$ (T-PACK)

$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \{ \exists X, T_{12} \} \quad \Gamma, X, x : T_{12} \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } \{ X, x \} = t_1 \text{ in } t_2 : T_2}$ (T-UNPACK)

Figure 24-1: Existential types



Encoding Abstract Data Types

```
counterADT =  
  {*{x:Nat},  
   {new = {x=1},  
    get = λi:{x:Nat}. i.x,  
    inc = λi:{x:Nat}. {x=succ(i.x)}}}  
as {∃Counter,  
   {new: Counter, get: Counter→Nat, inc: Counter→Counter}};
```

▶ counterADT : {∃Counter,
 {new:Counter,get:Counter→Nat,inc:Counter→Counter}}

```
let {Counter,counter} = counterADT in  
counter.get (counter.inc counter.new);
```

▶ 2 : Nat



Encoding Objects

- Read the book



Encoding existential types in universal types

$p4 = \{*\text{Nat}, \{a=0, f=\lambda x:\text{Nat}. \text{succ}(x)\}\}$ as $\{\exists X, \{a:X, f:X\rightarrow\text{Nat}\}\}$;

► $p4 : \{\exists X, \{a:X, f:X\rightarrow\text{Nat}\}\}$

$\text{let } \{X, x\}=p4 \text{ in } (x.f \ x.a);$

► $1 : \text{Nat}$

$p4' = \lambda Y. \lambda g:(\forall X. \{a:X, f:X\rightarrow\text{Nat}\}\rightarrow Y).$

$g [\text{Nat}] \{a=0, f=\lambda x:\text{Nat}. \text{succ}(x)\}$

$p4' [\text{Nat}] (\lambda X. \lambda x: \{a:X, f:X\rightarrow\text{Nat}\}. (x.f \ x.a))$

Encoding existential types in universal types



$$\{\exists X, T\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall Y. (\forall X. T \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow Y.$$

$$\{*S, t\} \text{ as } \{\exists X, T\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda Y. \lambda f: (\forall X. T \rightarrow Y). f [S] t$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash t_1 : \{\exists X, T_{12}\} \\ \Gamma, X, x: T_{12} \vdash t_2 : T_2 \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } \{X, x\} = t_1 \text{ in } t_2 : T_2}$$

$$\text{let } \{X, x\} = t_1 \text{ in } t_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} t_1 [T_2] (\lambda X. \lambda x: T_{12}. t_2).$$



Homework

- Encoding counterADT in universal types
 - Your code needs to be implemented in fullpoly
 - Your code should contain test cases that invoke “inc” several times and then invoke “get” to check its value
 - Please submit electronically