

### Chapter 5: The Untyped Lambda Calculus

What is lambda calculus for? Basics: syntax and operational semantics Programming in the Lambda Calculus Formalities (formal definitions)



What is Lambda calculus for?



- A core calculus (used by Landin) for
  - capturing the language's essential mechanisms,
  - with a collection of convenient derived forms whose behavior is understood by translating them into the core
- A formal system invented in the 1920s by Alonzo Church (1936, 1941), in which all computation is reduced to the basic operations of function definition and application.





# Basics



Syntax



• The lambda-calculus (or  $\lambda$ -calculus) embodies this kind of function definition and application in the purest possible form.

t	::=	terms:
	x	variable
	λx.t	abstraction
	tt	application



Abstract Syntax Trees



• (s t) u (or simply written as s t u)





Abstract Syntax Trees







### Scope



- An occurrence of the variable x is said to be bound when it occurs in the body t of an abstraction  $\lambda x.t$ .
  - $\lambda x$  is a binder whose scope is t. A binder can be renamed: e.g.,  $\lambda x.x = \lambda y.y$ .
- An occurrence of x is free if it appears in a position where it is not bound by an enclosing abstraction on x.
  - **Exercises**: Find free variable occurrences from the following terms: x y,  $\lambda x.x$ ,  $\lambda y. x y$ , ( $\lambda x.x$ ) x.



**Operational Semantics** 



• Beta-reduction: the only computation

$$(\lambda \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}_{12}) \mathbf{t}_2 \rightarrow [\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{t}_2] \mathbf{t}_{12},$$

"the term obtained by replacing all free occurrences of x in  $t_{12}$  by  $t_2$ " A term of the form ( $\lambda$  x.t12) t2 is called a redex.

• Examples:

 $(\lambda x.x) y \rightarrow y$ 

 $(\lambda x. x (\lambda x.x)) (u r) \rightarrow u r (\lambda x.x)$ 





- Full beta-reduction
  - Any redex may be reduced at any time.
- Example:
  - Let id =  $\lambda x.x$ . We can apply beta reduction to any of the following underlined redexes:

<u>id (id (λz.id z))</u> id (<u>(id (λz.id z))</u>) id (id (λz.<u>id z</u>))





- The normal order strategy
  - The leftmost, outmost redex is always reduced first.







- The call-by-name strategy
  - A more restrictive normal order strategy, allowing no reduction inside abstraction.

$$\frac{id (id (\lambda z. id z))}{id (\lambda z. id z)}$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{id (\lambda z. id z)}{\lambda z. id z}$$

$$\rightarrow \lambda z. id z$$





- The call-by-value strategy
  - only outermost redexes are reduced and where a redex is reduced only when its right-hand side has already been reduced to a value, a term that cannot be reduced any more.

$$id (id (\lambda z. id z))$$

$$→ id (\lambda z. id z)$$

$$→ \lambda z. id z$$

$$→$$





# Programming in the Lambda Calculus

Multiple Arguments Church Booleans Pairs Church Numerals Recursion



#### Multiple Arguments







#### **Church Booleans**



• Boolean values can be encoded as:

tru =  $\lambda$  t.  $\lambda$  f. t fls =  $\lambda$  t.  $\lambda$  f. f

• Boolean conditional and operators can be encoded as:

test =  $\lambda$  l.  $\lambda$  m.  $\lambda$  n. l m n and =  $\lambda$  b.  $\lambda$  c. b c fls



### **Church Booleans**



• An Example

test tru v w

- =  $(\lambda 1. \lambda m. \lambda n. 1 m n) tru v w$
- $\rightarrow$  ( $\lambda m. \lambda n. trumn$ ) v w
- $\rightarrow$  ( $\lambda$ n. tru v n) w
- → truvw

= 
$$(\lambda t.\lambda f.t) v w$$

$$\rightarrow$$
 ( $\lambda f. v$ ) w



### **Church Numerals**



• Encoding Church numerals:

$$c_0 = \lambda s. \lambda z. z;$$
  

$$c_1 = \lambda s. \lambda z. s z;$$
  

$$c_2 = \lambda s. \lambda z. s (s z);$$
  

$$c_3 = \lambda s. \lambda z. s (s (s z));$$
  
etc.

• Defining functions on Church numerals:

succ =  $\lambda$  n.  $\lambda$  s.  $\lambda$  z. s (n s z); plus =  $\lambda$  m.  $\lambda$  n.  $\lambda$  s.  $\lambda$  z. m s (n s z); times =  $\lambda$  m.  $\lambda$  n. m (plus n) cO;



# Pairs



• Encoding

pair = 
$$\lambda f.\lambda s.\lambda b. b f s;$$
  
fst =  $\lambda p. p tru;$   
snd =  $\lambda p. p fls;$ 

• An Example

fst (pair v w)

= fst (
$$(\lambda f. \lambda s. \lambda b. b f s) v w$$
)

- $\rightarrow$  fst (( $\lambda$ s.  $\lambda$ b. b v s) w)
- $\rightarrow$  fst ( $\lambda$ b. b v w)
- =  $(\lambda p. p tru) (\lambda b. b v w)$
- $\rightarrow$  ( $\lambda b. b v w$ ) tru
- -→ truvw



### Recursion



- Terms with no normal form are said to diverge. omega =  $(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$ ;
- Fixed-point combinator fix =  $\lambda$  f. ( $\lambda$  x. f ( $\lambda$  y. x x y)) ( $\lambda$  x. f ( $\lambda$  y. x x y));

Note: fix f = f(fix f)



### Recursion



• Basic Idea:

A recursive definition: h = <body containing h>





# Recursion



• Example: fac =  $\lambda$  n. if eq n cO then cl else times n (fac (pred n)  $g = \lambda f \cdot \lambda n$ . if eq n cO then cl else times n (f (pred n) fac = fix g

**Exercise**: Check that fac  $c3 \rightarrow c6$ .





### Formalities (Formal Definitions)

Syntax (free variables) Substitution Operational Semantics



# Syntax



- **Definition** [Terms]: Let V be a countable set of variable names. The set of terms is the smallest set T such that
  - 1.  $x \in T$  for every  $x \in V$ ; 2. if  $t_1 \in T$  and  $x \in V$ , then  $\lambda x.t_1 \in T$ ; 3. If  $t_1 \in T$  and  $t_2 \in T$ , then  $t_1 t_2 \in T$ .
- Free Variables
  - $FV(x) = \{x\}$   $FV(\lambda \times t_1) = FV(t_1) \setminus \{x\}$  $FV(t_1 t_2) = FV(t_1) \cup FV(t_2)$



### Substitution



$$[\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{s}]\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{s} [\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{s}]\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} \qquad \text{if } \mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{x} [\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{s}](\lambda \mathbf{y}.\mathbf{t}_1) = \lambda \mathbf{y}. \ [\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{s}]\mathbf{t}_1 \qquad \text{if } \mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{x} \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \notin FV(\mathbf{s}) [\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{s}](\mathbf{t}_1 \mathbf{t}_2) = \ [\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{s}]\mathbf{t}_1 \ [\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{s}]\mathbf{t}_2$$

Example:

$$[x \rightarrow y z] (\lambda y. x y)$$
  
=  $[x \rightarrow y z] (\lambda w. x w)$   
=  $\lambda w. y z w$ 



### **Operational Semantics**







# Summary



- What is lambda calculus for?
  - A core calculus for capturing language essential mechanisms
  - Simple but powerful
- Syntax
  - Function definition + function application
  - Binder, scope, free variables
- Operational semantics
  - Substitution
  - Evaluation strategies: normal order, call-by-name, callby-value



# Homework



- Understand Chapter 5.
- Do exercise 5.3.6 in Chapter 5.

5.3.6 EXERCISE [★★]: Adapt these rules to describe the other three strategies for evaluation—full beta-reduction, normal-order, and lazy evaluation. □

