

Design Principles of Programming Languages

Existential Types

Zhenjiang Hu, Haiyan Zhao, <u>Yingfei Xiong</u> Peking University, Spring Term



About existential types



- System F: universal types
 - $\forall X.X \rightarrow T$
- Can we change the quantifier to form a new type?
 - $\exists X.X \to T$
- Existential types: 10 years ago
 - Almost only in theory
 - Used to understand encapsulation
- Existential types: now
 - Used in mainstream languages such as Java, Scala, Haskell





- Designed by Martin Odersky
- How to print all elements in a generic collection in Java?

```
void printCollection(Collection<Object> c) {
  for (Object e : c) {
    System.out.println(e);
  }
```





- Designed by Martin Odersky
- How to print all elements in a generic collection in Java?

```
void printCollection(Collection<Object> c) {
  for (Object e : c) {
    System.out.println(e);
  }
```

• Problem: Collection<Integer> cannot be passed.





- Designed by Martin Odersky
- How to print all elements in a generic collection in Java?

```
void printCollection(Collection<?> c) {
  for (Object e : c) {
    System.out.println(e);
  }
}
```

• ? stands for some unknown types





- The previous example is used in almost every Java tutorial about wildcards
- Is there a problem?





- The previous example is used in almost every Java tutorial about wildcards
- Is there a problem?
- This following code implements the same function in a more type-safe manner

```
<T> void printCollection(Collection<T> c) {
for (T e : c) {
System.out.println(e);
}
```





- The use of wildcards is for encapsulation
- Will the following code compile?

```
public class A {
```

```
private class B {...}
```

```
public Collection<B> getInternalList() {...}
```

}





- The use of wildcards is for encapsulation
- Will the following code compile?

 public class A {
 private class B {...}
 public Collection getInternalList() {...}
 }
- Yes (weird Java design), but is not useful. Collection bs = new A().getInternalList(); // Compilation error





- The use of wildcards is for encapsulation



Existential Types



- Theoretical Intuition: Can we change the universal quantifier in $\forall X.T$ into existential quantifier $\exists X.T$?
- $\forall X.T$: for any type X, T is a type
- $\exists X. T$: there exists some type X, T is a type
 - Collection<?> is a type Collection<X> for some type X
 - You should not care about the value of X



A Problem in Java



- Rotate a list by one
 - List<?> | = getSomeList();
 - I.add(I.remove(0)) // compilation error
- Can we improve the design?
 - Give concrete name to "?"



Existential Type by Example



- $p = \{*Nat, \{a=0, f=\lambda x:Nat. succ(x)\}\}$ as $\{\exists X, \{a:X, f:X \rightarrow Nat\}\};$
- ▶ p : $\{\exists X, \{a:X, f:X \rightarrow Nat\}\}$

```
let \{X,x\}=p in (x.f x.a);
```

▶ 1 : Nat

```
let {X,x}=p in (\lambday:X. x.f y) x.a;
```

▶ 1 : Nat

let {X,x}=p in succ(x.a);

Error: argument of succ is not a number

```
let {X,x}=p in x.a;
```

Error: Scoping error!



Exercise: are the following terms useful?



 $p6 = \{*Nat, \{a=0, f=\lambda x:Nat. succ(x)\}\}$ as $\{\exists X, \{a:X, f:X \rightarrow X\}\};$

▶ p6 : $\{\exists X, \{a:X, f:X \rightarrow X\}\}$ Can never do anything with the result

 $p7 = \{*Nat, \{a=0, f=\lambda x:Nat. succ(x)\}\}$ as $\{\exists X, \{a:X, f:Nat \rightarrow X\}\};$

▶ p7 : $\{\exists X, \{a:X, f:Nat \rightarrow X\}\}$ Same as above. Also cannot pass a to f.

 $p8 = \{*Nat, \{a=0, f=\lambda x:Nat. succ(x)\}\}$ as $\{\exists X, \{a:Nat, f:Nat \rightarrow Nat\}\};$

▶ p8 : $\{\exists X, \{a:Nat, f:Nat \rightarrow Nat\}\}$ Does not encapsulate anything



Defining Existential Type





Figure 24-1: Existential types





Review: Abstract Data Type

- CounterRep = {x: Ref Nat}
- newCounter =

 $\lambda_{-}:$ Unit. let $r = \{x = ref 1\}$ in $\{get = \lambda_{-}: Unit. ! (r. x),$ inc = $\lambda_{-}:$ Unit. $r. x: = succ(! (r. x))\};$

Can we turn it into an immutable object?



Immutable Counter



- CounterRep = {x: Nat}
- newCounterImpl = λr: CounterRep.

{ get = $\lambda_{:}$ Unit. r.x,

inc = $\lambda_{:}$: CounterRep. {x = r.x + 1}};

• newCounter = newCounterImpl {x=1}

But ConterRep is not encapsulated for the client.





Encoding Abstract Data Types

```
counterADT =
    {*{x:Nat},
    {new = {x=1},
    get = λi:{x:Nat}. i.x,
    inc = λi:{x:Nat}. {x=succ(i.x)}}}
as {∃Counter,
    {new: Counter, get: Counter→Nat, inc: Counter→Counter}};
```

```
counterADT : {∃Counter,
```

{new:Counter,get:Counter→Nat,inc:Counter→Counter}}

let {Counter,counter} = counterADT in counter.get (counter.inc counter.new);

▶ 2 : Nat



Encoding Objects



• Read the book



Encoding existential types in universal types



 $p4 = \{*Nat, \{a=0, f=\lambda x:Nat. succ(x)\}\}$ as $\{\exists X, \{a:X, f:X \rightarrow Nat\}\};$

▶ p4 : $\{\exists X, \{a:X, f:X \rightarrow Nat\}\}$

let $\{X,x\}=p4$ in (x.f x.a);

▶ 1 : Nat







 $\{\exists X,T\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall Y. (\forall X.T \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow Y.$

{*S,t} as { $\exists X,T$ } $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda Y. \lambda f: (\forall X.T \rightarrow Y). f [S] t$

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma \vdash \mathtt{t}_1 : \{ \exists \mathtt{X}, \mathtt{T}_{12} \} \\ & \Gamma, \mathtt{X}, \mathtt{x} : \mathtt{T}_{12} \vdash \mathtt{t}_2 : \mathtt{T}_2 \\ & \overline{\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{let} \{ \mathtt{X}, \mathtt{x} \} = \mathtt{t}_1 \text{ in } \mathtt{t}_2 : \mathtt{T}_2 } \end{split}$$

let {X,x}=t₁ in t₂ $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ t₁ [T₂] (λ X. λ x:T₁₂. t₂).

