

Chapter 9: Simply Typed Lambda-Calculus

Function Types
The Typing Relation
Properties of Typing
The Curry-Howard Correspondence
Erasure and Typability



Function Types



- T1→T2
 - classifying functions that expect arguments of type T1 and return results of type T2.

```
(The type constructor \rightarrow is right-associative.
T1\rightarrowT2\rightarrowT3 stands for T1\rightarrow(T2\rightarrowT3))
```

- We will consider Booleans with lambda calculus
 - T ::= BoolT → T
- Examples
 - Bool→Bool
 - (Bool \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow (Bool \rightarrow Bool)



$\lambda \rightarrow$



Syntax

$$v ::= values: \lambda x : T.t abstraction value$$

T ::= types:
$$T \rightarrow T$$
 type of functions

$$\Gamma$$
 ::= contexts:
 \varnothing empty context
 Γ , x:T term variable binding

Assume all variables in Fare different Renaming if some are not

Evaluation

ation
$$t \rightarrow t'$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{t}_1 \longrightarrow \mathsf{t}_1'}{\mathsf{t}_1 \; \mathsf{t}_2 \longrightarrow \mathsf{t}_1' \; \mathsf{t}_2} \tag{E-APP1}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{t}_2 \longrightarrow \mathsf{t}_2'}{\mathsf{v}_1 \; \mathsf{t}_2 \longrightarrow \mathsf{v}_1 \; \mathsf{t}_2'} \tag{E-APP2}$$

$$(\lambda x : T_{11} . t_{12}) v_2 \rightarrow [x \mapsto v_2] t_{12}$$
 (E-APPABS)

Typing

$$\frac{x:T\in\Gamma}{\Gamma\vdash x:T} \tag{T-VAR}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: T_1 \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x: T_1 \cdot t_2 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2}$$
 (T-ABS)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t}_1 : \mathsf{T}_{11} \rightarrow \mathsf{T}_{12} \qquad \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t}_2 : \mathsf{T}_{11}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t}_1 \; \mathsf{t}_2 : \mathsf{T}_{12}} \tag{T-APP}$$



Type Derivation Tree



```
\frac{x: Bool \in x: Bool}{x: Bool \vdash x: Bool} \xrightarrow{T-VAR} \frac{x: Bool \vdash x: Bool}{T-ABS} \xrightarrow{T-TRUE} \frac{T-TRUE}{T-APP}
\vdash (\lambda x: Bool.x) \text{ true : Bool}
```





Properties of Typing

Inversion Lemma
Uniqueness of Types
Canonical Forms
Safety: Progress + Preservation



Inversion Lemma



LEMMA [INVERSION OF THE TYPING RELATION]:

- 1. If $\Gamma \vdash x : R$, then $x : R \in \Gamma$.
- 2. If $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : T_1 \cdot t_2 : R$, then $R = T_1 \rightarrow R_2$ for some R_2 with $\Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash t_2 : R_2$.
- 3. If $\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t}_1 \; \mathsf{t}_2 \; : \; \mathsf{R}$, then there is some type T_{11} such that $\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t}_1 \; : \; \mathsf{T}_{11} \to \mathsf{R}$ and $\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t}_2 \; : \; \mathsf{T}_{11}$.
- 4. If $\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{true} : \mathsf{R}$, then $\mathsf{R} = \mathsf{Bool}$.
- 5. If $\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{false} : \mathsf{R}$, then $\mathsf{R} = \mathsf{Bool}$.
- 6. If $\Gamma \vdash \text{if } \mathsf{t}_1 \text{ then } \mathsf{t}_2 \text{ else } \mathsf{t}_3 : \mathsf{R}, \text{ then } \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t}_1 : \mathsf{Bool} \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t}_2, \mathsf{t}_3 : \mathsf{R}$. \square

Exercise: Is there any context Γ and type T such that $\Gamma \vdash x x:T$?



Uniqueness of Types



 Theorem [Uniqueness of Types]: In a given typing context Γ, a term t (with free variables all in the domain of Γ) has at most one type. Moreover, there is just one derivation of this typing built from the inference rules that generate the typing relation.



Canonical Form



- **Lemma** [Canonical Forms]:
 - If v is a value of type Bool, then v is either true or false.
 - If v is a value of type $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$, then $v = \lambda x: T_1.t_2$.



Progress



 Theorem [Progress]: Suppose t is a closed, well-typed term. Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with t → t'.

Proof: By induction on typing derivations.

Closed: No free variable

Well-typed: \vdash t : T for some T



Two Structural Lemmas



- Lemma [Permutation]: If Γ ⊢ t : T and Δ is a permutation of Γ, then Δ ⊢ t : T.
- Lemma [Weakening]: If Γ⊢ t:T and x is not in dom(Γ), then Γ,
 x:S ⊢ t:T.

Note: All can be easily proved by induction on derivation



Preservation



 Lemma [Preservation of types under substitution]: If Γ, x:S ⊢ t:T and Γ⊢s:S, then Γ⊢[x→s]t:T.

Proof: By induction on derivation of Γ , x:S \vdash t : T.

Theorem [Preservation]:
 If Γ⊢t:T and t →t', then Γ ⊢t':T.



The Curry-Howard Correspondence



A connection between logic and type theory

LOGIC	PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES
propositions	types
proposition $P \supset Q$	type P→Q
proposition $P \wedge Q$	type $P \times Q$ (see §11.6)
proof of proposition P	term t of type P
proposition P is provable	type P is inhabited (by some term)



Erasure and Typability



 Types are used during type checking, but do not appear in the compiled form of the program.

DEFINITION: The *erasure* of a simply typed term t is defined as follows:

```
erase(x) = x

erase(\lambda x:T_1.t_2) = \lambda x. erase(t_2)

erase(t_1 t_2) = erase(t_1) erase(t_2)
```

THEOREM:

- 1. If $t \to t'$ under the typed evaluation relation, then $erase(t) \to erase(t')$.
- 2. If $erase(t) \rightarrow m'$ under the typed evaluation relation, then there is a simply typed term t' such that $t \rightarrow t'$ and erase(t') = m'.

Untyped?

Curry-Style vs. Church-Style



- Curry Style
 - Syntax → Semantics → Typing
 - Semantics is defined on untyped terms
 - Often used for implicit typed languages

• Church Style

- Syntax → Typing → Semantics
- Sematnics is defined only on well-typed terms
- Often used for explicit typed languages



Homework



- Read Chapter 9.
- Do Exercise 9.3.9.

9.3.9 Theorem [Preservation]: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Proof: EXERCISE [RECOMMENDED, $\star\star\star$]. The structure is very similar to the proof of the type preservation theorem for arithmetic expressions (8.3.3), except for the use of the substitution lemma.

