### **De**tecting Numerical **B**ugs in Neural Network **Ar**chitectures

Yuhao Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Luyao Ren<sup>1</sup>, Liqian Chen<sup>2</sup>, **Yingfei Xiong**<sup>1</sup>, Shing-Chi Cheung<sup>3</sup>, Tao Xie<sup>1</sup>

Peking University<sup>1</sup> National University of Defense Technology<sup>2</sup> The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology<sup>3</sup>







### Detecting Numerical Bugs in Neural Network Architectures

**Background & Motivation** 

## School Neural Network Architecture

**Neural Network Architecture** 

Neural Network Model



Training



Existing work on NN model: Testing Verification Bug Detection

...

import tensorflow as if inport numpy as no

# Greate 100 phony w, y data points in HumPy, y - x + 0.1 + 0.3 x\_data - np.random.rand(100).astype(np.float32) y\_data - x\_data + 0.1 + 0.3

E Try to find values for N and b that constra  $\gamma,$  data - N + x, data + b  $\pi$  (the invect that  $F_{1}$  denotes the  $\beta,$  is and b and  $\beta,$  but TeaterFilew will A - tr variable (if, remains unit (if, -1, 6, -1, 0)) b - tr variable(tf, zerose(1))) p - 4r + x of the a - b

e Finimize the mean squared errors. Loss = t1.reduce mean(t1.square( $\gamma = \gamma$  dats)) softmazer = t1.train.StrokentDescentDetimizer(0.b) train = optimizer.minimize(loss)

# Before starting, initialize the variables. We will run this first, init = tf.clobal variables initializer()

# Launch the graph, sess = tf.Session() sess.run(init)

# Fit the line. for step in range(201);

# Why Neural Network Architecture?



1. Bugs at model level are difficult to fix



Hours, Days, Weeks, Months, ...

#### 2. Bugs in architectures may cause failures in training



3. Quality assurance needs to be provided for architectures

### CNCC Numerical Bugs

Bugs leading to errors in numerical operations, such as "NaN", "INF", or crashes during training or inference.





### ONCC An Example of Numerical Bugs

1. y\_softmax = tf.nn.softmax(h\_fc)

...

2. cross\_entropy = y\_ \* tf.log(y\_softmax)



#### CNCC

### **De**tecting Numerical **B**ugs in Neural Network **Ar**chitectures



# School Abstract Interpretation

Infinitely many possible inputs and parameters for an NN architecture

$$x = 0.14, 0.55, \dots, 0.99$$
  $\sigma(x) = [0,1]$ 

- 1. How about tensors?
- 2. How can we improve the precision of interval abstraction?

### CHCC

### Abstraction for Neural Network Architectures

1. Tensor Partitioning

#### 2. Interval Abstraction with Affine Equality Relation



### Abstraction on Tensors

	Tensor Expansion	Tensor Smashing		
	<ul><li>Instantiate every element</li><li>Precise but not scalable</li></ul>	<ul><li>Smash an array into one element</li><li>Scalable but not precise</li></ul>		
1. A = Matrix(2, 2); //within [-1,0]	$\sigma(A) = \begin{pmatrix} [-1,0] & [-1,0] \\ [-1,0] & [-1] & \text{Tensor Partit} \\ \hline & \text{scalable and} \\ \end{bmatrix}$	$\sigma(A) = \begin{pmatrix} [-1,0] & [-1,0] \\ [-1,0] & [-1] \end{pmatrix}$ Tensor Partitioning combines the strengths, scalable and precise enough		
2. A[1][1] = 1;	$\sigma(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1, 0 \\ -1, 0 \end{bmatrix}  \begin{bmatrix} 1, 1 \\ 1, 1 \end{bmatrix} $	$\sigma(A) = [-1,1]$		
3. A[0][0] += A[1][1];	$\sigma(A) = \begin{pmatrix} [0,1] & [-1,0] \\ [-1,0] & [1,1] \end{pmatrix}$	$\sigma(A) = [-2,2]$		

# CNCC Motivation of Tensor Partitioning

- Many elements of a tensor are subject to the same operations.
   Provide opportunity to reduce analysis effort
- Some operations like *concatenate* and *split* provide partition positions.
   Partition positions come free.

## Abstraction on Tensors

#### **Tensor Partitioning**

- Partition the tensor into a set of disjoint parts
- Smash each part into one element

1. A = Matrix(2,2); 
$$\sigma(A) = ([-1,0] \quad [-1,0])$$
  
//within [-1,0]

2. A[1][1] = 1;  $\sigma(A) = ([-1,0] \quad [-1,1])$ 

3.  $A[0][0] += A[1][1]; \qquad \sigma(A) = ([-2,1] [-1,1])$ 



13

## CNCC Motivation of Affine Equality Relation

Many elementwise affine operations in computation graphs Affine equality relation is more precise than sole interval abstraction.

## Sole Interval Abstraction

1. a, b	$\sigma(a) = [0,1], \sigma(b) = [1,2]$
2. $x = a + b;$	$\sigma(x) = [0,1] + [1,2] = [1,3]$
3. y = a - b;	$\sigma(y) = [0,1] - [1,2] = [-2,0]$
4. $z = x + y;$	$\sigma(z) = [1,3] + [-2,0] = [-1,3]$

Interval abstraction abstracts away the relation between x, y, and z

#### Interval Abstraction with Affine Equality Relation

CNCC

Affine Equality Relation:  $\sum_i \omega_i x_i = \omega_0$ 

1. a, b	$\sigma(a) = [0,1], \sigma(b) = [1,2]$	
2. x = a + b;	$\sigma(x) = [0,1] + [1,2] = [1,3]$	x = a + b
3. y = a - b;	$\sigma(y) = [0,1] - [1,2] = [-2,0]$	y = a - b
4. z = x + y;	$\sigma(z) = \frac{[1,3] + [-2,0]}{[-1,3]}$	z = x + y = 2a
	[0,1] + [0,1] = [0,2]	



### Evaluation

### 

### A Collection of Neural Network Architectures

- 9 buggy architectures from previous study [1, 2]
- 48 real-world architectures from tensorflow/models [3], containing different NN architectures (including CNN, RNN, GAN, HMM) in various research domains

[1] Yuhao Zhang, Yifan Chen, Shing-Chi Cheung, Yingfei Xiong, and Lu Zhang. 2018. An empirical study on TensorFlow program bugs. ISSTA 2018.

[2] Augustus Odena, Catherine Olsson, David Andersen, and Ian J. Goodfellow. 2019. TensorFuzz: Debugging Neural Networks with Coverage-Guided Fuzzing. ICML 2019.

[3] https://github.com/tensorflow/models/tree/master/research



### Main Results

#### Framework

(Tensor Abstraction + Numerical Abstraction)

Instantiate every element in an array  $\sigma(A) = \begin{pmatrix} [0,1] & [-1,0] \\ [-1,0] & [1,1] \end{pmatrix}$ 

#### DEBAR

(Tensor Partitioning + Affine Equality Relation): Accuracy: <u>93.0%</u>, all in 3 minutes, 12.1s on average 100% accuracy on 9 buggy architectures

Tensor Partitioning + Sole Interval Abstraction Accuracy: 80.6%, 12.1s on average Tensor Expansion + Affine Equality Relation: 33/57 > 30mins; on rest 24, DEBAR doesn't lost accuracy

Tensor Smashing + Affine Equality Relation:

Accuracy: 87.1%, 12.2s on average

Smash an array into one element  $\sigma(A) = [-2,2]$ 

# Scheel Bugs in Real-World Architectures

Found 11 buggy statements in the code repository Submitted pull requests, and 3 buggy statements have been repaired by the developers

Guard the unsafe tf.exp to prevent Inf cost #8221	Edit Open with +	Guard the unsafe tf.log to prevent NAN #8223 (	Edit Copen with +
© Conversation (€ → Commits (2) E Checks (6) E Files changed (2)	+4 -3 8088	Conversation (e) -> Commits (1) Checks (e) E Files changed (1)	+4 =1
Changes from 1 commit + File filter+ Jump to+ 🛞 +	Brokew changes -	Changes from all commits + File filter+ Jump to+ 🛞 +	Review changes -
$\sim$ Guard the unsafe tf.exp to prevent Inf cost $P$ This connect out being to any branch on this repeatery.	C Prov. Next 2	Guard the unsafe tf.log to prevent NAN <sup>12</sup> This commit does not belong to any branch on this repository.	
ForeverZyh committed on Feb 29	commit #cRdsf27W2db2ffb7b572e945583641b848864f7	ForeverZyh committed on Mar 1	commit dd19df58b25c28545a27da3c3ed7a8e423d98899
✓ 4 mmm research/lfads/lfads.py □		↓ ③ 5 mmmm research/video_prediction/prediction_train.py	
101         1 * Bas-Merch (abl. = * primeer)           101         1 * Bas-Merch (abl. = * primeer)           101         Leg_merch_* = f.t.scm(fearsH), this_pect_(bc)(H = * bl.spt_(bc), bc)           101         Leg_merch_* = f.t.scm(bas, benc)           101         = retext1d = dasLparement(1 = th.spt_(bc), bc) = retex feat base	10         47 Sex-reduc-Galaria         1 (Sex-reduc-Galaria           10         Isig_creat_s - 4 closes/files(1), (Six_ker_Creat_S) + (Six_ker_Creat_S)         1 (Sex-reduc-Galaria           10         Isig_creat_s - 1, closes/files(1), (Six_ker_Creat_S)         1 (Sex-reduc-Galaria)           10         Isig_creat_s - 1, closes/files(1), (Six_ker_S)         1 (Sex-reduc-Galaria)	1         # NA 43,4 40           1         # Na 410,4 40           1         # Na 410,4 40           500	P New offers to save a model checkpoint     Mov (Applicat)
700 rates[1].ed_shape(]Dune, hp.dstated_state(_statestnews[0]]) 707 leglikelihood_t = Poisson(leg_rutes_t).legp(deta_t_shad) 709	726 crates(t).est_stape(DBrow, hps.dstaset_dissDps.dstaset_names(01))) 727 loglikelikeod_t = Philsset(log_rates_t).log/dsta_t_bed) 728	36 # tf record data location: 33 SATA_DIR = 'pub/youh_trein' 34 30	# # f record data location: 0 00TK_BER = "pwsh/pwsh_train" 1
and an analysis of the second statistical statist	Unitedation         Unitedation         Upper light           Interpretation         Annual State	<pre>[ 4] 41,1 - 40, 47, 40, 44 peak_tipel_tip_nite_relations, pred: terminal     peak tipel to main relation(980)     relation to main relation(980)     relation to the relation(980)     def man_tiperation(terminal) / tf.ing(tit.4)     def man_tiperation(terminal)     def man_tiperation(terminal)     terminal     terminal</pre>	Resurct:     Province     Province     Province     return SLA + EcitLis(TEARABAREMent, sparse, province, pred, (DSEDD()) / Vf.is(18.8)      def man_sparse(_serve(tran, pred):



How to map the buggy operations to the buggy code statements ?



Detect Numerical Bugs in Neural Network Architectures Design Abstraction Techniques for Analyzing Neural Architectures

- 1. Interval Abstraction with Affine Equality Relation
- 2. Tensor Partitioning

### How to analyze the dynamic computation graphs?



Collect 57 Computation Graphs for Future Research